Alternatives to Just About Right Scales for Obtaining Feedback on Product Diagnostics



C. Ledeker, T. Aldredge, A. Weigand; McCormick & Company, Inc., MD, USA Email: christie ledeker@mccormick.com

e All & RATA

X

Х

х

Y

Х

х

X

х

Х

Х

Х

Х

х

Х

Х

Х

Х

х

Х

х

INTRODUCTION

The objective of this study was to identify alternatives to the Just About Right (JAR) scale that provide more information on product diagnostics by:

Expanding product terminology

> Diagnostic guestions were developed that allowed consumers to rate attributes with the option to indicate that certain terms did not apply to the samples, differing from traditional JARs which force ratings for each attribute. These alternatives to JARs allow consumers to select attributes which they associate with each sample, allowing for more attribute options within a ballot.

Using priming to increase differentiation among samples

> Priming was also investigated to determine if eliciting memories of previous experiences with the products assisted in differentiating sample ratings.

METHODS

Six ballots were evaluated in Central Location Tests on 2 gravy samples served over mashed potatoes in balanced order. Unique respondents were used to evaluate each ballot, and each ballot was evaluated by 80 to 107 respondents. Respondents were screened to like and eat brown gravy on mashed potatoes.

Ballot diagnostics included the following:

Standard JA	R: 5-point JA	R (6 attribute:	s total)	Ь	ATTRIBUTES ON BALLOTS	Standard JAR	Ra	
Please rate this Brown Gravy sample for the following characteristics:					APPEARANCE ATTRIBU			
Color					Color	Х		
To light S	lightly too Just a	about Slightly to	00 Too dark		Brown Color			
To light	light rig	ht dark	100 dalk		Amount of Seasoning			
					Specks			
					FLAVOF	ATTRIBUTES		
Rate All: 3-p	oint JAR with	an option to	indicate that		Total Flavor	Х		
attributes di	d not apply (2	3 attributes to	otal)		Spice/Seasoning Level	Х		
Please rate this Brown Gravy sample for each of the following					Beef Flavor	Х		
APPEARANCE characteristics.					Roasted Flavor			
If the characteristic listed does not apply to the sample please select					Fatty Flavor			
"Does not apply."					Onion Flavor			
Color				≻	Garlic Flavor			
To light	lust about right	Too dark	Door not apply		Black Pepper Flavor			
To light	Just about right	100 uaik	Does not apply		Floury / Starchy Flavor			
					Richness			
RATA: 2-poi	nt ratings p	resented as	rate all that		Artificial Flavor			
apply (PATA) to identify attributes too low or too				Aftertaste				
high in intensity (22 attributes)					Sourness			
ingirin inten	Sity (25 attrib	ulesj			Saltiness			
For this Brown Gravy sample please rate the following APPEARANCE					Bitterness			
characteristics.					Sweetness			
If a characteristic is just about right or if a characteristic does not apply				TEXTURE ATTRIBUTES				
to the sample, do not rate the characteristic.					Consistency			
Color					Smoothness			
To	light	Too	dark		Clumpiness	Х		
L					Creaminess	X		

Each ballot was presented with and without priming:

Priming: Prompted respondent to think about the last time they ate dish

Please take a moment to try the Brown Gravy sample

Think about the last time you ate Brown Gravy as you answer the following questions...

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

As shown in Figure 1, results showed that broader attribute selections in the alternative diagnostic questions led to more significant differences (p≤0.05) between samples in diagnostic feedback. Priming tended to show less differentiation between samples based on diagnostic attribute ratings.



Results showed similar % respondents selected terms as too low or too high in intensity among terms presented in all ballots, as seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of average % ratings across attributes in all ballots, by ballot type.	Standard JAR	Standard JAR with Priming	Rate All	Rate All with Priming	RATA	RATA with Priming
Average % of attributes rated as "do not apply" to the sample	N/A	N/A	13%	14%	N/A	N/A
Average % of attributes rated as "too low"	17%	19%	17%	16%	18%	14%
Average % of attributes rated as "too high"	13%	9%	8%	9%	8%	7%
Average % of attributes rated as "just about right"	69%	72%	62%	61%	N/A	N/A

As seen in Figures 2-5, Standard JAR ballots tended to take less time to complete and were considered to be less tedious and difficult. However, more time was spent, on average, rating each attribute on Standard JAR ballots. Rate All ballots took on average 36 to 40 seconds more time than their RATA counterparts to complete.



*9-point rating (1 = not tedious: 9 = extremely tedious)

ratings, focusing on Standard JAR vs. RATA comparison, which is an alternative to Standard JAR that provides detailed attribute feedback and slightly less time to complete the ballot than Rate All.

Comparison of resu JAR and RATA	ults from S A diagnosti	tandard cs
Table 2. Significantly ma Sample A as "too lov	ore respondent w" in the follow	s rated ing:
ATTRIBUTES	Standard JAR	RATA
Color ("too light")		X
Brown Color ("not brown enough")		х
Amount of Seasoning Specks		х
Spice / Seasoning Level	Х	x
Beef Flavor		X
Garlic Flavor		X
Richness		X
Saltiness		Х

Table 3. Significantly Sample B as "too h	more responden high" in the follow	ts rated ving:
ATTRIBUTES	Standard JAR	RATA
Color ("too dark")	Х	Х
Total Flavor	Х	Х
Beef Flavor	Х	
Brown Color ("too brown")		Х
Amount of Seasoning Specks		Х
Onion Flavor		Х
Richness		Х
Aftertaste		Х
Sourness		Х
Table 4. Significantly Sample B as "too	more responden low" in the follow	ts rated /ing:
ATTRIBUTES	Standard JAR	RATA
Consistoney ("too thin")	×	

CONCLUSIONS

Alternative diagnostic questions:

- > provide more flexibility with terminology, allowing respondents to only rate attributes that apply to the sample being evaluated and providing the researcher opportunity to expand attribute terminology on ballots.
- > tended to provide the most information about diagnostic attributes and led to more differentiation between samples when presented without priming.
- > led to longer ballot completion times and heightened perceived tediousness due to additional attributes; however, less time was spent rating each attribute.
- > when presented as RATA questions, ballot completion times were slightly reduced

Alternative diagnostic questions can increase feedback available for formula optimization.

RFFFRFNCF

Ares, G., Bruzzone, F., Vidal, L., Cadena, R.S., Gimenez, A., Pineau, B., Hunter, D.C., Paisley, A.G., Jaeger, S.R. (2014). Evaluation of a ratingbased variant of check-all-that-apply questions: Rate-all-that-apply (RATA). Food Quality and Preference, 36, 87-95.

Significant differences at 95% confidence level

Tables 2-4 show a comparison of feedback obtained on significant differences between samples in attribute